



Populism and welfare politics in contemporary India: Electoral mobilization and social justice

Jadi Ravi

Department of Political Science, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/ijssh.2025.7.6.8149>

Abstract

Welfare politics and populism have grown to be important aspects of modern Indian democracy. As tools of governance and electoral mobilization, political parties are increasingly using welfare programs, direct transfers, subsidies, employment initiatives, food security measures, housing, healthcare, and social protection. Welfare politics in India is intricately related to issues of caste, class, gender, religion, regional identity, and citizenship; it cannot be reduced to the distribution of economic advantages. Welfare programs offer a concrete way to create this political bond, and populist politics frequently portrays political leaders as the advocates of common or marginalized people against wealthy elites. Simultaneously, welfare measures have strengthened the political participation of marginalized groups and improved access to basic resources, both of which have contributed to social justice. However, detractors contend that the line between public policy and political patronage may become more hazy due to the growing personalization of welfare delivery and the exploitation of government programs for electoral goals. The relationship between populism, welfare politics, electoral mobilization, and social justice in modern India is examined in this piece of literature. It contends that while welfare politics has grown to be a significant tool for establishing democratic legitimacy, institutional accountability, universal access, and sustainable economic growth are necessary for its long-term contribution to social justice.

Keywords: Populism, welfare politics, India, Electoral mobilization, social justice, caste, democracy, welfare schemes, political parties, marginalized groups

Introduction

The interaction between elections, political parties, and welfare measures has changed significantly in India, the largest democracy in the world. The Indian government has implemented a number of initiatives since independence with the goals of lowering poverty, advancing social equality, and enhancing the quality of life for underprivileged groups. These policies have a strong foundation thanks to the constitution's dedication to welfare, social justice, and equality.

However, welfare politics has taken on a new political relevance in recent decades. Welfare programs are now seen as crucial tools for political mobilization and electoral communication in addition to being means for economic redistribution. Benefits including subsidized food, housing, healthcare, jobs, pensions, scholarships, cash transfers, electricity, cooking fuel, and other types of social security are offered or promised by political parties.

The broader growth of populism is intimately linked to this phenomenon. In general, populist politics creates a political divide between "the people" and "the elite" and asserts that the interests of common people should be represented in political institutions. Because "the people" in India are separated by caste, class, religion, geography, language, and gender, populism takes many different shapes. As a result, social justice and identity politics often collide with welfare politics.

Thus, there is a complicated link between political politics and welfare. Welfare programs have the potential to raise living conditions and give traditionally underprivileged groups more access to public resources. However, when benefits are offered as gifts from certain political leaders rather than as rights protected by public institutions, welfare promises can turn into tools of political patronage.

Welfare Politics in Contemporary India

Evolution of Welfare Politics

Since independence, India's welfare state has experienced substantial change. Early on, the government prioritized state-led industrialization, food distribution, land reforms, planned economic development, and poverty reduction. Reducing poverty and resolving socioeconomic disparities were the main goals of welfare policies. Welfare's purview grew over time to encompass social protection, employment, education, healthcare, food security, and rural development. The advent of a rights-based approach to welfare marked a significant change. A number of social programs were converted into legally recognized rights by the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guaranty Act (MGNREGA), the Right to Education Act, and the National Food Security Act. This strategy sought to increase welfare's accountability, transparency, and independence from political authorities' arbitrary actions.

Major Areas of Welfare Politics

India's welfare politics today encompass a number of significant topics. Through the Public Distribution System and food subsidy programs, which aim to shield poor households from hunger and financial instability, food security continues to be crucial. Employment programs, especially MGNREGA, build rural assets and support income. The goal of housing schemes is to give economically disadvantaged households a permanent place to live, and they have grown to be quite conspicuous examples of government intervention. While education policies offer scholarships, school meals, infrastructure, and support for underprivileged populations, healthcare programs aim to increase access to medical care and health insurance. Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT), which transfers

welfare funds directly to residents, has been using bank accounts and digital platforms more frequently in recent years.

Welfare as a Political Instrument

Additionally, welfare programs serve as crucial tools in electoral politics. During election campaigns, political parties usually emphasize welfare accomplishments and compete by offering new or increased benefits. Beneficiaries may link government performance to improvements in their social and economic circumstances because welfare has a direct impact on people's daily lives. As a result, providing assistance can boost political support and aid in electoral mobilization. Welfare alone, however, cannot account for voting behavior. Election decisions are also influenced by caste, religion, class, party organization, leadership, philosophy, local issues, and views of government. Thus, welfare politics serves as both a social safety net and a crucial element of democratic election contests.

Populism and Welfare Delivery

When social programs are intimately linked to political leaders, the connection between populism and welfare politics becomes especially important. Welfare programs are often used by political parties and leaders in modern India as proof of their dedication to the common people, especially the underprivileged and disenfranchised. Welfare programs can be integrated into a larger narrative that portrays the leader as a provider, protector, or advocate of the common people through speeches, election campaigns, and political communication. In addition to influencing opinions of political leadership and governance, this customization of welfare has the potential to improve the political relationship between citizens and governments.

Welfare populism has a number of advantages. First, communities that have traditionally faced social and economic marginalization can get state assistance through welfare programs. The living conditions of disadvantaged households can be improved by direct financial aid, housing, healthcare, education, food security, and job help. Second, welfare delivery can improve citizens' knowledge of government initiatives and their capacity to apply for public benefits. Third, political party rivalry can motivate governments to increase their welfare promises and actively address the socioeconomic demands of their constituents. By improving their ties to state institutions and lowering economic instability, welfare programs can also boost marginalized groups' political engagement.

Welfare populism does, however, have significant drawbacks. People may start to view public resources as gifts rather than rights when welfare payments are closely linked to specific political figures. This may erode institutional accountability and promote political favoritism. Additionally, electoral competitiveness may push governments to put short-term subsidies ahead of long-term structural changes like creating jobs, improving healthcare, education, and the economy. Governments may face financial strain as a result of large social promises. Programs that are funded by public funds may obtain disproportionate political credit from political leaders.

Electoral Mobilization and Welfare Politics

Welfare as an Electoral Strategy

In modern India, welfare programs are now a significant part of the election process. Elections give political parties an opportunity to show that they can meet the socioeconomic requirements of their constituents and deliver tangible advantages. Cash transfers, food subsidies, subsidized energy, housing, job programs, health insurance, pensions, scholarships, agricultural assistance, women's welfare programs, subsidized transportation, and household support like LPG are all frequently highlighted by political parties. Because these programs' advantages are frequently apparent in day-to-day living, they can establish a direct line of communication between citizens and governments. Thus, welfare programs can affect how the government is perceived and aid political parties in gaining support from specific social groups. Welfare advantages, however, are just one element that affects electoral behavior; other factors include party organization, leadership, caste, religion, philosophy, and local difficulties.

Targeted Mobilization of Social Groups

India's complex socioeconomic structure and welfare politics are strongly related. Programs for women, farmers, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, minorities, young people, and households with lower incomes may be created or prioritized by political parties. Welfare programs are not always solely electoral in nature because of this targeting. Numerous underprivileged groups have real socioeconomic requirements that call for particular legislative solutions. However, the interplay between societal needs and electoral incentives gives welfare its political significance. Targeted welfare can increase a party's electoral support in specific communities while also fostering social inclusion.

Women and Welfare Politics

In Indian politics, women are becoming more and more significant beneficiaries and electoral constituencies. Women's daily lives can be directly impacted by welfare programs pertaining to housing, healthcare, food security, cleanliness, cooking fuel, and financial support. Consequently, political parties are increasingly addressing women as a separate political constituency. Women's political engagement, awareness, and bargaining power within households and communities may all be strengthened by such programs.

Farmers and Rural Welfare

Welfare and political politics continue to revolve around farmers and rural people. Perceptions of government performance can be influenced by agricultural assistance, rural jobs, irrigation, crop insurance, credit, and subsidies. Welfare programs, however, are insufficient to address systemic issues in rural areas. They must be complemented by infrastructure development, rural economic diversification, job creation, and agricultural reforms.

Welfare Politics and Social Justice

A fundamental principle of the Indian Constitution, social justice is intimately linked to equality, liberty, dignity, and affirmative action. It aims to lessen structural and historical disparities based on class, gender, caste, tribe, and other types of social and economic disadvantage. In India, social

justice encompasses not only legal equality before the law but also establishing opportunities for underprivileged communities to obtain political representation, housing, food, healthcare, work, and education. By redistributing resources and enhancing access to vital public services, welfare politics can make a substantial contribution to these goals. Therefore, social inclusion and economic vulnerability among the weaker segments of society can be strengthened by effective welfare measures.

Caste and Welfare

In Indian politics and social interactions, caste is still a significant issue. The historical discrimination faced by Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, as well as, in pertinent situations, Other Backward Classes, has been addressed by constitutional provisions for reservations in education, public employment, and political representation. By tackling poverty, unemployment, housing, healthcare, education, and access to essential services in underprivileged communities, welfare programs support affirmative action. Welfare programs by themselves, however, are unable to remove discrimination and inequality based on caste. Equal political and institutional representation, high-quality education, safe livelihoods, access to land and economic possibilities, protection from violence and discrimination, and efficient application of legal and constitutional protections are all necessary for meaningful social justice.

Welfare and Marginalized Communities

Access to welfare is more than just financial support for underprivileged populations; it may increase their feeling of citizenship and improve their connection with the government. People have more access to social and economic rights when they receive food, jobs, housing, healthcare, education, pensions, or financial assistance through open public institutions. Thus, welfare can support both political inclusion and material security. In order to prevent marginalized groups from being excluded due to administrative, geographical, technological, or informational hurdles, good implementation is crucial. When programs are inclusive, open, responsible, and viewed as citizen entitlements rather than political favors, welfare politics can significantly advance social justice.

Welfare Politics and Democratic Accountability

When voters assess governments based on how well they deliver necessary public goods and services, welfare politics can enhance democratic accountability. Voters can evaluate if political parties have kept their word and addressed the socioeconomic demands of the populace by looking at welfare programs. Therefore, elections serve in part as mechanisms of retrospective accountability, allowing voters to reward governments for providing welfare in an efficient manner or to withdraw their support when programs are badly executed. However, when political communication concentrates more on election publicity and symbolic pronouncements than on the actual execution and results of welfare programs, democratic accountability deteriorates.

Transparency

Effective welfare government requires transparency. Clear information on eligibility requirements, resource distribution, implementation processes, and the real

recipients of assistance programs should be available to the public. Information that is easily accessible can boost public confidence in governmental institutions and lessen corruption.

Inclusion

Vulnerable persons must not be left out of welfare programs due to administrative or technological obstacles. Welfare delivery can be made more efficient by increasing its digitalization, however those who lack the necessary paperwork, internet connection, bank accounts, or digital literacy may find it difficult to get benefits. Thus, inclusive processes are required.

Institutional Accountability

Effective welfare delivery depends equally on institutional oversight. The media, independent institutions, legislatures, courts, and civil society organizations can keep an eye on government initiatives and spot problems in their execution. Welfare policies are kept as public initiatives rather than tools of political favoritism because of strong institutional accountability. Therefore, linking welfare politics with democratic accountability requires openness, inclusivity, and institutional supervision.

Critiques of Welfare Populism

Welfare populism has drawn criticism despite its role in reducing poverty and promoting social protection. One significant worry is that election rivalry can push governments to put short-term welfare programs and subsidies ahead of long-term structural changes in the fields of employment, healthcare, education, and economic growth. When governments do not have the money to support them, excessive welfare promises can likewise put a strain on the budget. The personalization of welfare, which gives political leaders undue credit for initiatives funded by public funds, is another point of contention. Institutional accountability may be weakened and leader-centric politics strengthened as a result. Welfare benefits may also be distributed unevenly due to political factors, corruption, and administrative flaws. Lastly, structural issues like unemployment, caste discrimination, regional inequality, subpar education, and inadequate healthcare facilities cannot be resolved by social programs alone. Therefore, in order to achieve long-term social fairness, welfare measures should be supplemented with institutional changes, sustainable economic development, and efficient public services.

Conclusion

In modern India, populism and welfare politics are closely related. In addition to offering financial support, welfare programs have a significant impact on how residents, political parties, and the government interact politically. While successful social delivery can bolster political legitimacy, electoral competitiveness pushes political parties to make welfare commitments.

However, welfare politics should not be equated with electoral populism. Numerous welfare programs can significantly advance social fairness by addressing actual disparities. The material circumstances of underprivileged communities can be improved via food security, job guarantees, housing, healthcare, education, pensions, and focused assistance.

The main issue is striking a balance between long-term development, institutional responsibility, and welfare responsiveness. Welfare should not be viewed as a political gift, but rather as a part of citizenship and social rights. Similarly, welfare-related electoral mobilization is not inherently anti-democratic; rather, it becomes problematic when political patronage takes the place of institutional rights and long-term public policy.

The quality of execution, inclusivity, transparency, fiscal sustainability, and citizens' capacity to hold governments responsible are ultimately what determine how successful welfare politics are in advancing social justice. Welfare serves as a tool for redistribution, a means of political mobilization, and a crucial forum for debating the definition of social justice, as demonstrated by contemporary Indian democracy.

References

1. Chatterjee P. *The Politics of the Governed: Reflections on Popular Politics in Most of the World*. Columbia University Press, 2004.
2. Chandra K. *Why Ethnic Parties Succeed: Patronage and Ethnic Head Counts in India*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
3. Corbridge S, Harriss J, Jeffrey C. *India Today: Economy, Politics and Society*. Polity Press, 2013.
4. Drèze J, Sen A. *An Uncertain Glory: India and Its Contradictions*. Princeton University Press, 2013.
5. Jaffrelot C. *India's Silent Revolution: The Rise of the Lower Castes in North India*. Columbia University Press, 2003.
6. Kothari R. *Politics in India*. Orient Longman, 1970.
7. Manor J. *Coercion, Corruption and Clientelism in Indian Politics*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
8. Sen A. *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press, 1999.
9. Subramanian N. *Ethnicity and Populist Mobilization: Political Parties, Citizens and Democracy in South India*. Oxford University Press, 1999.
10. Tiwari S. *The Political Economy of India's Welfare State*. Routledge, 2019.
11. Government of India. *The Constitution of India*. Ministry of Law and Justice.
12. Government of India. *National Food Security Act, 2013*. Government of India.
13. Government of India. *The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, 2005*. Government of India.
14. Government of India. *Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009*. Government of India.
15. Dreze J, Khera R. Recent social security initiatives in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2017;52(4):44–54.